

3.5 Goal: construct all irreps of $H(Z) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[W]$ in a purely geometric way.

Setup: \tilde{N} , $Z = \tilde{N} \times_N \tilde{N}$, for $\gamma \in N$, and
 $\mu \downarrow$, $\tilde{\gamma} \hookrightarrow \tilde{N}$, $Z_\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} \times_\gamma \tilde{\gamma}$
 N , \downarrow , \downarrow
 $\gamma \hookrightarrow N$

Borel-Moore always with \mathbb{Q} -coets

ex
 $Z_x = B_x \times B_x$
 for $x \in N$

\downarrow $H^{BM}(B_x) =: H(B_x)$

$$Z \circ Z_x = Z_x = Z_x \circ Z.$$

Therefore, convolution gives us:

Lemma
 $H(Z_x) := H_{2 \cdot \dim B_x}^{BM}(Z_x)$ is an $H(Z)$ -bimodule.

But Kunneth formula tells us that

$$H(Z_x) \simeq \underset{H(Z_x)}{\mathbb{Z}} H(B_x) \otimes \underset{H(Z_x)}{\mathbb{Z}} H(B_x), \quad H(B_x) := H_{\dim B_x}^{BM}(B_x).$$

From $Z \circ B_x = B_x \circ B_x \circ Z$,
Prop

$H(B_x)$ has a left- $H(Z)$ -module and right- $H(Z)$ -module structure, denoted by $H(B_x)_L, H(B_x)_R$.

Kunnetth now tells us:

Prop

$$H(\mathbb{Z}_x) \simeq H(B_x)_L \otimes H(B_x)_R \text{ as } H(\mathbb{Z})\text{-bimodules.}$$

Now, $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{N}$ by conjugation, hence

$$g: x \mapsto gxg^{-1} \Rightarrow g: B_x \rightarrow B_{gxg^{-1}}, \\ b \mapsto gbg^{-1}.$$

Prop G -action and $H(\mathbb{Z})$ -action on $H(B_x)$ commute:

$$\forall g \in G, z \in H(\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$H(B_x) \xrightarrow{g} H(B_{gxg^{-1}})$$

$$z \downarrow$$

$$\downarrow z$$

$$H(B_x) \xrightarrow{g} H(B_{gxg^{-1}}) \cdot$$

Proof

$G \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}$, hence $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H(\mathbb{Z}))$.

$$\text{So } g(z) \cdot \underset{\uparrow}{g(c)} = g(z \cdot c) = \downarrow \rightarrow, \\ H(B_{gxg^{-1}})$$

But G is connected, so G acts trivially on $H(\mathbb{Z})$,

hence $g(z) = z$ and the LHS is $z \cdot g(c) = \rightarrow \downarrow$.

□

Now since $G_x = \left\{ \text{centralizer of } \mathbb{Z} \right\}_{x \in \mathcal{N}}$ preserves B_x ,

$$G_x : B_x \rightarrow B_x.$$

So the Prop tells us that G_x action and $H(\mathbb{Z})$ action commute on $H(B_x)$.

But G_x^o acts trivially on homology, so $C(x) := G_x / G_x^o$
Conn. comp. of identity $= \pi_0(G_x)$
is the one we want:

Lemma

$C(x), H(\mathbb{Z})$ -action on $H(B_x)$ commute.
either left or right.

Since $C(x)$ is a finite group, and $H(B_x)$ is f.d. vector space,
semisimple

Prop Using \mathbb{C} -coefs,

$$H(B_x)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \text{-irrep of } C(x)} \pi \otimes H(B_x)_{\mathbb{C}, \pi},$$

multiplicity space

and $H(B_x)_{\mathbb{C}, \pi}$ are $H(\mathbb{Z})$ -modules.

Remark We should use \mathbb{C} coefs to ensure semisimplicity, but actually $C(x)$ -irreps are all defined over \mathbb{Q} for most G anyway (everything except E_8).

Def

Let A be a \mathbb{Q} -alg, let M be a left A -mod.
 Then $M^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M, \mathbb{Q})$ is a right A -mod.
 $(\varphi \cdot a)(m) = \varphi(a \cdot m)$.

Claim

$(H(B_x)_L)^\vee \cong H(B_x)_R$ as right $H(\mathbb{Z})$ -mod, with compatible $C(x)$ -action.

$C(x) \curvearrowright (H(B_x)_L)^\vee$ by $(g \cdot \varphi)(h) = \varphi(g^{-1} \cdot h)$

Proof

deferred to §3.6. \square

MAIN THM

- 1) $H(B_x)_\pi$ is a simple $H(\mathbb{Z})_c$ -mod $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \pi \in \text{Irrrep}(C(x))$
- 2) $H(B_x)_\pi \cong_{H(\mathbb{Z})\text{-mod}} H(B_y)_\psi \iff (x, \pi) \sim_{G\text{-conj.}} (y, \psi)$.
- 3) All simple (complex) $H(\mathbb{Z})_c$ -mod arise in this way.

In other words,

$$\left\{ H(B_x, \mathbb{C})_\pi \mid \left. \begin{array}{l} G\text{-conj. classes of pairs } (x, \pi) \\ x \in \mathbb{N} \\ \pi \text{ irrep of } C(x) \end{array} \right\} \right.$$

forms a complete set of irreps of $H(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$

Ex

If $x \in \mathbb{N}^{\text{reg}}$, then $B_x = \{pt\}$ b/c \exists unique borel $b \ni x$.
 Then $H(B_x) = H_0^{\text{BM}}(pt) = \mathbb{Q}$, which corresponds to the trivial \mathbb{Z} -rep.